

HMK/mb FS 7954

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

FERROSTAAL METALS GROUP, INC. formerly
known as MAN FERROSTAAL, INC.,

Plaintiff,

08 CV 1053 (PKL)(RLE)
ECF CASE

-against-

M/V SANKO SUPREME, her engines, boilers,
tackle, etc., SUPREME BULKSHIP, LTD.,
SANKO SHIP MANAGEMENT CO LTD.,
S.M. CHINA CO. LTD.,

**VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WITH PRAYER FOR
MARITIME ATTACHMENT**

Defendants

-----X

Plaintiffs through their attorney KINGSLEY, KINGSLEY & CALKINS allege
for their complaint herein:

1. This is a claim under the Court's Admiralty and Maritime jurisdiction with respect to the carriage of goods by water, and under the Federal question jurisdiction under the Interstate Commerce Act, and Court's diversity and pendent jurisdiction with respect to the remaining aspects of the claim.
2. Plaintiffs are the owners or duly authorized representatives of the owners or underwriters or subrogated underwriters of cargo shipped on board the captioned vessel which is more particularly described in Schedule A hereto, and for which bills of lading were issued.
3. Defendants were vessel owners, suppliers, sellers, shippers, inspectors, managers, operators, charterers, freight forwarders, stevedores, terminal

operators, common carriers by water and by land, bailees for hire, insurers and sureties with respect to the cargo described in Schedule A hereto which was sold, shipped, inspected, carried, kept, discharged and delivered from the captioned vessel, inland conveyances, terminals and warehouses by defendants.

4. The cargo described in Schedule A was lost and damaged by defendants due to the fault, neglect, deviation, unseaworthiness, maritime tort, tortious interference with contract, breach of warranty, sinking, stranding, salvage expenses, general average and conversion of defendants, their agents and servants, and delivered by defendants in non-conforming and contaminated condition, mis-delivered and non-delivered.

5. The vessel is now or will be during the pendency of this action within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court.

6. Plaintiffs' sue on their own behalf and as agents and trustees on behalf of any other party who may now have or hereinafter acquire an interest in this action.

7. If this claim is subject to Arbitration then plaintiffs hereby demand such Arbitration and appoint Lucienne C. Bulow of New York City as arbitrator thereof.

8. Plaintiffs' damages are in excess of \$35,000.00

9. After diligent search the undersigned attorney is unable to locate any agents for service of process upon defendants in this district, but plaintiff believes there are properties, debts, credits, freights and bank accounts in

this district which may be attached pursuant to Admiralty Rule B.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs demand judgment in an amount exceeding \$35,000.00 plus interest and costs, and pray the Court to issue its process against the aforesaid defendants and vessel, and to issue its process of maritime attachment against the property of defendants in this district pursuant to Admiralty Rule B.

Dated: January 28, 2008

KINGSLEY, KINGSLEY & CALKINS
Attorneys for Plaintiff

BY: _____ /S/
HAROLD M. KINGSLEY
91 West Cherry Street
Hicksville, New York 11801
(516) 931-0064
hmk@kingsleyandkingsley.com

SCHEDULE A

OUR REF: FS 7954
VESSEL: M/V SANKO SUPREME
PORTS: SHANGHAI/LOS ANGELES
BILLS OF LADING: SMAGSS702SHLA004
SMAGSS702SHLA012
DATED: FEBRUARY 22, 2007
CHARTER PARTY: BETWEEN S.M. CHINA CO., LTD. AND
MAN FERROSTAAL, INC
DATED: JANUARY 26, 2007
DESCRIPTION OF CARGO: STEEL PIPE
AMOUNT: \$35,000.00

VERIFICATION

Harold M. Kingsley, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of the state of New York , state that I am the attorney of record for MAN FERROSTAAL AG, MAN AG, FERROSTAAL METALS GMBH, COUTINHO & FERROSTAAL GMBH & CO. KG., in the within action; I have read the foregoing Complaint and know the contents thereof; and I declare and affirm under penalties of perjury that the same is true to my knowledge based on documents in my file, on information and belief, and which I believe to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by the undersigned and not by an officer of MAN FERROSTAAL AG, MAN AG, FERROSTAAL METALS GMBH, COUTINHO & FERROSTAAL GMBH & CO. KG., is that there are no officers now present in Nassau County where affiant has his office.

Dated: January 28, 2008

_____/S/_____
HAROLD M. KINGSLEY